PHIL-1000: Critical Thinking

#### 1

## PHIL-1000: CRITICAL THINKING

# **Cuyahoga Community College**

Viewing: PHIL-1000 : Critical Thinking

**Board of Trustees:** 

2018-05-24

**Academic Term:** 

Fall 2021

**Subject Code** 

PHIL - Philosophy

Course Number:

1000

Title:

Critical Thinking

#### **Catalog Description:**

This course serves as an introduction to principles of critical and creative thinking with an emphasis on real-world practical applications. Formal and informal tools of logical analysis will be applied to controversial topical issues.

## Credit Hour(s):

3

#### Lecture Hour(s):

3

## Lab Hour(s):

0

## Other Hour(s):

0

## Requisites

## **Prerequisite and Corequisite**

ENG-0995 Applied College Literacies, or appropriate score on English Placement Test.

Note: ENG-0990 Language Fundamentals II taken prior to Fall 2021 will also meet prerequisite requirements.

#### Outcomes

## Course Outcome(s):

Acquire the tools of critical thinking for the deployment of skillful analysis, assessment and communication in the problem solving process.

## **Essential Learning Outcome Mapping:**

Critical/Creative Thinking: Analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information in order to consider problems/ideas and transform them in innovative or imaginative ways.

#### Objective(s):

- 1. Develop the paradigmatic characteristics of a critical thinker.
- 2. Recognize and discuss barriers to critical thinking caused by personal biases and by misuses of language.
- 3. List common types of meaning and definitions and apply them to rational arguments.
- 4. Determine the difference between reason and emotion and explain how that distinction impacts the ability to think critically.
- 5. Identify common errors that occur when evaluating knowledge and evidence.
- 6. Criticize arguments by applying the knowledge of various informal fallacies of ambiguity, relevance and unwarranted assumptions.
- 7. Determine if an argument is inductive or deductive.
- 8. Analyze arguments by being able to identify premises and conclusion(s).
- 9. Identify major deductive argument forms and ascertain the validity and soundness of major deductive argument forms.
- 10. Identify and utilize inductive arguments forms such as arguments from analogy, generalizations and causal arguments.

#### Course Outcome(s):

Apply critical and creative thinking skills to analyze, criticize, and develop arguments and provide supporting evidence for one's conclusions.

#### **Essential Learning Outcome Mapping:**

Critical/Creative Thinking: Analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information in order to consider problems/ideas and transform them in innovative or imaginative ways.

#### Objective(s):

- 1. Assess the reliability of the information provided that governs the strength of an inductive inference.
- 2. Identify and utilize major ethical theories to defend one's well-argued position on a controversial issue.
- 3. Utilize the skills of critical thinking as exemplified through evaluating information from various sources/media and developing one's own arguments which demonstrate cogent reasoning.
- 4. Criticize arguments by applying the knowledge of various informal fallacies of ambiguity, relevance and unwarranted assumptions.
- 5. Identify major deductive argument forms and ascertain the validity and soundness of major deductive argument forms.
- 6. Identify and utilize inductive arguments forms such as arguments from analogy, generalizations and causal arguments.

#### Course Outcome(s):

Utilize the tools of critical thinking to argue for well-reasoned positions on contemporary topic issues that are supported by relevant argumentation.

#### **Essential Learning Outcome Mapping:**

Civic Responsibility: Analyze the results of actions and inactions with the likely effects on the larger local and/or global communities.

## Objective(s):

- 1. Identify and utilize major ethical theories to defend one's well-argued position on a controversial issue.
- Utilize the skills of critical thinking as exemplified through evaluating information from various sources/media and developing one's own arguments which demonstrate cogent reasoning.

#### Course Outcome(s):

Utilize the tools of critical thinking to discern the quality of research sources.

## **Essential Learning Outcome Mapping:**

Information Literacy: Acquire, evaluate, and use information from credible sources in order to meet information needs for a specific research purpose.

## Objective(s):

- 1. Utilize the skills of critical thinking as exemplified through evaluating information from various sources/media and developing one's own arguments which demonstrate cogent reasoning.
- 2. List and describe fallacious forms of reasoning that are present in research and media sources.

## Methods of Evaluation:

- 1. Examinations and quizzes (required)
- 2. Written essay assignment (required)
- 3. Class participation (optional)
- 4. Oral Presentation (Optional)

## **Course Content Outline:**

- 1. Critical thinking as a subset of Logic
  - a. Definition of logic -- the study of reasoning
  - b. Facts vs. opinions
  - c. Informed (expert testimony) vs. uniformed opinions (reliance on non-experts)
  - d. Confirmation bias
- 2. Perry"s theory of cognitive development
  - a. Dualism (Absolutism)
  - b. Relativism
  - c. Commitment
- 3. Characteristics of a critical thinker

- a. Analytic approach
- b. Research skills
- c. Flexibility & tolerance for ambiguity
- d. Open-minded skepticism
- e. Creative problem solving
- f. Collaborative learning
- 4. Barriers to Critical Thinking
  - a. Resistance
    - i. Avoidance
    - ii. Anger
    - iii. Cliches
    - iv. Denial
    - v. Ignorance
    - vi. Conformity
    - vii. Struggling
    - viii. Distractions
  - b. Narrow-mindedness
    - i. Absolutism
    - ii. Fear of challenge
    - iii. Egocentrism
    - iv. Ethnocentrism
    - v. Anthropocentrism
  - c. Rationalization
  - d. Doublethink
  - e. Cognitive & Social Dissonance
  - f. Stress as a barrier to critical thinking
- 5. Reason & Emotion
  - a. Definition of reason along with some classical approaches:
    - i. Plato"s tri-part soul
    - ii. Aquinas" version of Christianity
    - iii. Darwin"s evolutionary theory
  - b. Emotion in relation to critical thinking
    - i. Emotional Intelligence
    - ii. Empathy
    - iii. Negative effects of emotion
  - c. Faith and reason
    - i. Fideism
    - ii. Rationalism
    - iii. Critical Rationalism
  - d. Types of believers
    - i. Theist
    - ii. Agnostic
    - iii. Atheist
- 6. Communication & Language
  - a. Functions of language
    - i. Informative
    - ii. Directive
    - iii. Expressive
    - iv. Emotive
    - v. Ceremonial
    - vi. Non-verbal cues
  - b. Types of meaning
    - i. Denotative
    - ii. Connotative
  - c. Types of definitions
    - i. Stipulative
    - ii. Lexical
    - iii. Precising

- 4
- 1. Theoretical
- 2. Operational
- iv. Persuasive
- d. Communication Styles
  - i. Assertive
  - ii. Aggressive
  - iii. Passive
  - iv. Passive-aggressive
- e. Manipulative Techniques in Language
  - i. Emotive Language
  - ii. Rhetorical Devices
    - 1. Euphemism
    - 2. Dysphemism
    - 3. Sarcasm
    - 4. Hyperbole
- 7. Knowledge & Evidence
  - a. Epistemological Views
    - i. Rationalism
    - ii. Empiricism
  - b. Evaluating evidence
    - i. False memory syndrome
    - ii. Hearsay
    - iii. Anecdotal evidence
    - iv. Expert testimony
    - v. Confirmation bias
  - c. Cognitive and perceptual errors
    - i. Perceptual errors
    - ii. Random data errors
    - iii. Memorable events error
    - iv. Self-fulfilling prophecy
  - d. Social errors & biases
    - i. Us vs. Them
    - ii. Societal expectations
    - iii. Peer pressure / conformity
    - iv. Diffusion of responsibility
- 8. Informal Fallacies
  - a. Formal fallacies vs. informal fallacies
  - b. Fallacies of ambiguity
    - i. Equivocation
    - ii. Amphiboly
    - iii. Accent
    - iv. Division
    - v. Composition
  - c. Fallacies of relevance
    - i. ad hominem
      - 1. Abusive
      - 2. Circumstantial
    - ii. Appeal to force (scare tactics)
    - iii. Appeal to pity
    - iv. Popular appeal
    - v. Appeal to ignorance
    - vi. Hasty generalization
    - vii. Straw Man
    - viii. Red Herring
  - d. Unwarranted Assumptions
    - i. Begging the question
    - ii. Inappropriate appeal to authority
    - iii. Loaded question
    - iv. False dilemma

- v. Questionable cause
- vi. Slippery slope
- vii. Naturalistic fallacy
- 9. Arguments vs. Non-arguments
  - a. Rhetoric
  - b. Deductive vs. Inductive
  - c. Propositions
  - d. Premises & Conclusions
  - e. Sample non-arguments
    - i. Explanations
    - ii. Conditional Statements
  - f. Evaluating arguments
  - g. Constructing arguments
- 10. Inductive Arguments
  - a. Generalizations
  - b. Analogies
  - c. Causal arguments
- 11. Deductive Arguments
  - a. Validity
  - b. Soundness
  - c. Argument by elimination (disjunctive syllogism)
  - d. Mathematical arguments
  - e. Definitions
  - f. Hypothetical Syllogisms
    - i. Modus Ponens
    - ii. Modus Tollens
    - iii. Chain argument (pure hypothetical syllogism)
- 12. Ethical Reasoning
  - a. Relativism
    - i. Ethical Subjectivism
    - ii. Cultural relativism
  - b. Utilitarianism
  - c. Deontology (Kantian Theory)
  - d. Rights-based ethics
  - e. Virtue ethics
- 13. Marketing
  - a. Escalation of commitment (loss aversion)
  - b. Strengths-Weaknesses-Opportunities-Threats (SWOT) model
  - c. Product placement
  - d. Advertising directed at children
  - e. Evaluating advertising
    - i. Informal fallacies
    - ii. Rhetorical devices
    - iii. Weak arguments & analogies
- 14. Mass Media
  - a. Media consolidation
  - b. Sensationalism
  - c. Lack of depth
  - d. Bias
  - e. Misleading scientific studies
  - f. Social networking
  - g. Misuses of the internet

#### Resources

Mayfield, Marylis. Thinking for Yourself. 9th ed. Wadsworth, 2013.

Boss, J.A. THINK: Critical thinking and logic skills for everyday life. 4th ed. McGraw Hill Publishers, 2017.

Vaughn, L. *The Power of Critical Thinking: Effective Reasoning About Ordinary and Extraordinary Claims*. 5th ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 2015.

Elder, L. and Paul, R. Critical Thinking: Tools for taking charge of your learning and your life. 3rd Ed. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2014.

Facione, Peter. Think Critically. 3rd. Upple Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2015.

## **Resources Other**

1. The Critical Thinking Community. www.criticalthinking.org (http://www.criticalthinking.org), 2017.

## **Instructional Services**

## **OAN Number:**

Ohio Transfer 36 TMAH

Top of page Key: 3560